

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/844,947	04/27/2001	Bradford G. Ackerman	SP01-095	SP01-095 1336	
22928	7590 04/29/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CORNING INCORPORATED SP-TI-3-1			CHIN, PETER		
CORNING, NY 14831			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1731	-	
			DATE MAILED: 04/29/2004	DATE MAILED: 04/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		ACKERMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	09/844,947 Examiner	Art Unit				
,	Peter Chin	1731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro , cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. INED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 M	lay 2004.					
•	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 May 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attack magnifical						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/844,947

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims1,2,4-9,13,15,20,21,23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blackwell et al (5,152,819) in view of Nakahara et al (4,419,116),Koide et al (5,425,795) or Terashima et al (5,423,898) and Roba et al (6,672,110).

Blackwell et al discloses a process for making an optical fiber glass preform. Silica precursor is mixed with a metal oxide dopant precursor, Ti oxide precursor and reacted in a soot burner to form soot. The soot is deposited on a rotating mandrel and subsequently consolidated in a He and Cl atmosphere. Blackwell et al is silent as to the minimum temperature of the soot deposition and the translation motion of the mandrel. Inherently, the temperature during soot deposition is below the temperature at which the soot particles consolidate otherwise there would be solid fused glass instead of a soot preform. In any case, Roba et al teaches it is advantageous that the temperature of the soot preform during soot deposition be at a temperature of between 700 and 1200° C. Note that Roba et al includes Ti doped soot preform, penultimate paragraph, column 5.It is also noted that "successive translating the deposition surface away from the burner", is an obvious conventional feature of devices used to form the soot preform and of which Koide et al, Nakahara et al or Terashima et al are cited as evidence.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Chin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1186. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

Art Unit: 1731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on (571) 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter Chin

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1731